

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 23313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,875	09/18/2001	Muditha Pradeep Dantanarayana	JPD-4398-176	1264
	7590 04/26/201 NDERHYE, PC	0	EXAM	IINER
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LOOR	MENDOZA, MICHAEL G	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	09/868,875	DANTANARAYANA, MUDITHA PRADEEP	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL G. MENDOZA	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on		
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does			-
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the compliance of the compliance of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the compliance with 37 of the compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in con	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.			
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8)		the statutory period	of three months
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory properties. Allowance (PTOL-85). 			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	_
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requestion. Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	tice of
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		se the period for see	king court review
7. The reason(s) below:			

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Use Beeter est indepted Office.

/TODD E. MANAHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734

PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Part of Paper No. 20100422